

appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

1. *Type of Information Collection:* Revision of a currently approved collection.

2. *Title of the Form/Collection:* The National Forensic Laboratory Information System Collection of Drug Analysis Data.

3. *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* Medical Examiner/Coroner Office Survey; National Forensic Laboratory Information System Drug Survey of Drug Laboratories; and Toxicology Laboratory Survey for the component within the Department of Justice is the Drug Enforcement Administration, Diversion Control Division.

4. *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

*Affected public (Primary):* Forensic Science Laboratory Management.

*Abstract:* The National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) collections provide the DEA with national databases on analyzed drug samples from law enforcement activities, antemortem toxicology samples (toxicology laboratories), and post-mortem toxicology samples (medical examiner/coroner offices (MECs) from federal, state, and local laboratories. Specifically, NFLIS-Drug data provide DEA current, precise, and representative estimates of drugs seized by law enforcement and analyzed by forensic laboratories. Since 2001, DEA has had case and drug report estimates for all drugs reported in NFLIS that are statistically representative of the nation and of census regions. The estimates, which are made possible by updating the laboratory profiles through the survey effort (see draft survey in Appendix), have given DEA the ability to track national and regional drug trends; a clearer national picture of illicit or diverted drug availability; additional information about the temporal changes in drug availability by geographic region; and the ability to detect new or emerging drugs. Information from NFLIS is combined with other existing databases to develop more accurate, up-to-date information on abused drugs. This database represents a voluntary, cooperative effort on the part of participating laboratories and MECs to provide a centralized source of analyzed drug

data. Existing federal drug abuse databases do not provide the type, scope, timeliness, or quality of information necessary to effectively estimate the actual or relative abuse potential of drugs as required under the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 811(b)) and international treaties in a timely and efficient manner. For example, much of the trafficking data for federal drug scheduling actions is presently obtained on a case-by-case basis from state and local laboratories. Occasionally scientific personnel from the DEA's Diversion Control Division, Drug and Chemical Evaluation Section, have contacted specific laboratories and requested files. In addition, some DEA field offices routinely subpoena MEC records for use in case work. The development of the National Forensic Laboratory Information System (NFLIS) greatly enhances the collection of such data. Submission of information for this collection is voluntary. DEA is not mandating this information collection.

5. *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* The DEA estimates that 140 persons annually for this collection at 1.6 hour per respondent, for an annual burden of 218 hours.

6. *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the proposed collection:* The DEA estimates that this collection takes 218 annual burden hours.

*If additional information is required please contact:* Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, Suite 3E.405B, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: August 1, 2018.

**Melody Braswell,**

*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 2018-16740 Filed 8-3-18; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-09-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

[OMB Number 1121-NEW]

### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed eCollection eComments Requested; New Collection: Survey of State Attorneys General Offices (SSAGO): Human Trafficking

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Justice Statistics, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** 60-Day notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Justice Programs, Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS), will be submitting the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days until October 5, 2018.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** If you have additional comments especially on the estimated public burden or associated response time, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions or additional information, please contact Suzanne Strong, Statistician, Prosecution and Judicial Statistics Unit, Bureau of Justice Statistics, 810 Seventh Street NW, Washington, DC 20531 (email: [Suzanne.M.Strong@usdoj.gov](mailto:Suzanne.M.Strong@usdoj.gov); telephone: 202-616-3666).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

- Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Bureau of Justice Statistics, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- Evaluate whether and if so how the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected can be enhanced; and
- Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

### Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New collection.

(2) *The Title of the Form/Collection:* Survey of State Attorneys General Offices (SSAGO)—Human Trafficking.

(3) *The agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department sponsoring the collection:* SSAGO-2. The applicable component

within the Department of Justice is the Bureau of Justice Statistics, in the Office of Justice Programs.

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:* Respondents will be state attorneys general or deputy attorneys within the state and territory attorneys general offices who work on human trafficking matters. *Abstract:* Among other responsibilities, the Bureau of Justice Statistics is charged with collecting data regarding the prosecution of crimes by state and federal offices. This survey will be directed towards state and territory attorneys general offices regarding their jurisdiction over civil and criminal human trafficking matters. This is BJS's second survey of state attorney general offices, but the first survey from the Survey of State Attorneys General Offices (SSAGO) program. The survey collects data on the staffing of state attorneys general offices, including the total number of deputy attorneys general and access to support staff. The survey also collects information on the types and numbers of human trafficking matters referred to the state attorneys general offices, the sources of the referrals of human trafficking matters, the estimates of labor and sex trafficking cases, the types of victims in labor and sex trafficking cases, the types of offenders of labor and sex trafficking cases, the manner in which criminal and civil human trafficking cases were closed in court, and state attorneys general offices' participation in state and federal human trafficking task forces.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* An agency-level survey will be sent to approximately 56 state and territory attorneys general offices. The expected burden placed on these respondents is about 25 minutes per respondent, with an additional 5 minutes to locate any additional persons within the office necessary to complete the survey.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* The total respondent burden is approximately 28 burden hours for the 56 respondents.

If additional information is required contact: Melody Braswell, Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Justice Management Division, Policy and Planning Staff, Two Constitution Square, 145 N Street NE, 3E.405A, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: July 30, 2018.  
**Melody Braswell,**  
*Department Clearance Officer for PRA, U.S. Department of Justice.*  
 [FR Doc. 2018-16581 Filed 8-3-18; 8:45 am]  
**BILLING CODE 4410-18-P**

**LIBRARY OF CONGRESS**

**Copyright Royalty Board**

[Docket 14-CRB-0010-CD/SD (2010-13)]

**Distribution of Cable Royalty Funds; Distribution of Satellite Royalty Funds**

**AGENCY:** Copyright Royalty Board, Library of Congress.  
**ACTION:** Final Distribution Determination.

**SUMMARY:** The Copyright Royalty Judges (Judges) announce final distribution of a portion of cable and satellite royalty funds for the years 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. The determination results from agreement among the participants that claim shares of the funds to be allocated to the Devotional Claimant category. The Judges issued their initial determination to the participants on July 19, 2018.

**DATES:** *Applicable:* August 6, 2018.  
**ADDRESSES:** The final distribution order is also published in eCRB at <https://app.crb.gov/> and on the Federal eRulemaking Portal at [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov).

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read submitted background documents, go to eCRB, the Copyright Royalty Board's electronic filing and case management system, at <https://app.crb.gov/> and search for docket number 14-CRB-0010-CD/SD (2010-13).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Anita Blaine, CRB Program Specialist, by telephone at (202) 707-7658 or email at [crb@loc.gov](mailto:crb@loc.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This matter is before the Copyright Royalty Judges (Judges) on motion of Multigroup Claimants (MGC) for entry of a consent order adopting the distribution shares proposed by the Settling Devotional Claimants (SDC) and ordering a final distribution of cable and satellite television royalty funds to be allocated to the Devotional Claimants category in conformity with those agreed shares.<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Allocation of cable royalty funds to the Devotional Claimants category remains the subject of the allocation proceeding, Docket No. 14-CRB-0010 CD (2010-13). The schedule in the proceeding to determine allocation of satellite royalty funds among claimant categories, Docket No. 14-CRB-0011 SD (2010-13) is suspended pending completion of the cable allocation proceeding.

The SDC do not oppose the final percentage distribution.

The Judges find that the parties' agreement regarding the final percentage distribution ends any remaining controversy with regard to the subject funds over which the Judges have jurisdiction and that neither party retains a significant interest related to this proceeding. Accordingly, good cause exists for entry of a final distribution determination relating to the subject funds.

The Judges therefore *order* that the royalty shares proposed in the SDC's Written Direct Statement (Dec. 29, 2017) are adopted, and that final distribution of the cable and satellite royalty funds allocated to the Devotional category shall be in accordance with the following relative shares.

**CABLE FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMMING**

Cable royalty year	SDC share (percent)	MGC share (percent)
2010 .....	77.1	22.9
2011 .....	82.6	17.4
2012 .....	84.8	15.2
2013 .....	89.1	10.9

**SATELLITE FUNDS ALLOCATED TO DEVOTIONAL PROGRAMMING**

Satellite royalty year	SDC share (percent)	MGC share (percent)
2010 .....	75.3	24.7
2011 .....	88.3	11.7
2012 .....	90.7	9.3
2013 .....	97.7	2.3

The Judges *further order* that, as the parties have presented this as an agreed determination, they have waived their rights to seek rehearing.

The Judges *further order* that this final distribution determination is without prejudice to the parties' right to appeal the Judges' interlocutory ruling in this consolidated proceeding with regard to both cable and satellite claims issues.

Upon issuance of this final determination, the Register of Copyrights (Register) shall have 60 days to conduct a statutory review. The Librarian of Congress shall review and cause this final determination, and any correction thereto by the Register, to be published in the **Federal Register** no later than the conclusion of the 60-day review period.

July 18, 2018.

*So ordered.*

Suzanne M. Barnett,  
 Chief United States Copyright Royalty Judge.