

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

THE CITY OF SEATTLE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND  
SECURITY, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 3:19-CV-07151-MMC

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING  
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR  
NATIONWIDE PRELIMINARY  
INJUNCTION**

1 On November 6, 2019, Plaintiffs the City of Seattle, OneAmerica, the Immigrant Legal  
2 Resource Center, Catholic Legal Immigration Network, Inc., Self-Help for the Elderly, and Central  
3 American Resource Center of California (collectively, “Plaintiffs”) filed a Motion for a Preliminary  
4 Injunction (the “Motion”) (Dkt. 25) to enjoin Defendants the Department of Homeland Security,  
5 Chad E. Wolf in his official capacity as Acting Secretary of Homeland Security, Kenneth T.  
6 Cuccinelli in his official capacity as Acting Director of United States Citizenship and Immigration  
7 Services, and United States Citizenship and Immigration Services (“USCIS”) (collectively, the  
8 “Defendants”) from enforcing changes to the process and criteria for requesting fee waivers from  
9 USCIS for the costs of immigration benefit applications and petitions announced by USCIS in the  
10 October 25, 2019 news release available at [https://www.uscis.gov/news/news-releases/uscis-](https://www.uscis.gov/news/news-releases/uscis-updates-fee-waiver-requirements)  
11 [updates-fee-waiver-requirements](https://www.uscis.gov/news/news-releases/uscis-updates-fee-waiver-requirements) (last visited December 10, 2019) and reflected in the October 24,  
12 2019 version of the USCIS Form I-912, Request for Fee Waiver, *see* ECF No. 24-1 at 61–69; and  
13 the October 25, 2019 USCIS Policy Alert, *see* ECF No. 24-1 at 71, and the revisions described  
14 therein to the USCIS Policy Manual Volume 1: General Policies and Procedures, Part B,  
15 Submission of Benefit Requests, Chapter 3, Fees and Chapter 4, Fee Waivers that were issued on  
16 October 25, 2019 and took effect on December 2, 2019, *see* ECF No. 24-1 at 83–98 (the “2019 Fee  
17 Waiver Revisions”).

18 A hearing on the Motion was held on December 9, 2019, Jessica Marsden of Protect  
19 Democracy Project and Niketa K. Patel of Mayer Brown LLP appearing for plaintiffs, and Julie  
20 Straus Harris of the U.S. Department of Justice appearing for defendants.

21 The Court, having considered the Motion and the documents filed therewith, all of the  
22 papers on file in this action, and the evidence and arguments presented at the hearing, hereby  
23 GRANTS Plaintiffs’ Motion. In particular, for the reasons stated on the record at the hearing, the  
24 Court finds (1) plaintiffs are likely to succeed on the merits of their claim that the 2019 Fee Waiver  
25 Revisions were established without compliance with the procedures required by the Administrative  
26 Procedure Act, (2) plaintiffs are likely to suffer irreparable harm absent the requested relief, (3) the  
27 balance of equities tips in plaintiffs’ favor, and (4) an injunction is in the public interest, and  
28

1 nationwide application thereof is the only practicable way in which to give plaintiffs the relief to  
2 which they are entitled.

3 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

4 Defendants, and their officers, agents, servants, employees, and attorneys, and any other  
5 persons who are in active concert or participation with them, ARE HEREBY RESTRAINED AND  
6 ENJOINED from implementing or enforcing the 2019 Fee Waiver Revisions, including by  
7 requiring fee waiver applicants to use the revised Form I-912, by requiring submission of a tax  
8 transcript to demonstrate income, and/or by not accepting evidence of receipt of a means-tested  
9 public benefit as evidence of inability to pay as described in the revised USCIS Policy Manual  
10 Volume 1: General Policies and Procedures, Part B, Submission of Benefit Requests, Chapter 3,  
11 Fees and Chapter 4, Fee Waivers which were issued on October 25, 2019 and took effect on  
12 December 2, 2019. This Preliminary Injunction shall take effect immediately and shall remain in  
13 effect pending resolution of this action on the merits or further order of this Court.

14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15  
16 Date: December 11, 2019

  
HONORABLE MAXINE M. CHESNEY

United States District Judge