

NEWS »

Best Countries Best States

Healthiest Communities Cities

Sign in

Home / News / National News

DOJ Targets 29 'Sanctuary Cities' in Latest Salvo

The Justice Department sent letters to the jurisdictions warning that they may be at risk of losing federal law enforcement grant funding.



By Alan Neuhauser, Staff Writer Nov. 15, 2017, at 3:26 p.m.









Attorney General Jeff Sessions addresses law enforcement and members of the press at JFK International Airport in New York City on Oct. 27. The Justice Department sent a letter to 29 'sanctuary' cities, counties and states on Wednesday warning that they might not be in compliance with federal law. 🔯 EDUARDO MUNOZ ALVAREZ/GETTY IMAGES

THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT on Wednesday escalated its crackdown on so-called "sanctuary" jurisdictions, naming more than two dozen cities, counties and states across America that it believes may be out of compliance with laws mandating local cooperation with federal immigration authorities.

Attorney General Jeff Sessions sent letters to officials in localities from Jackson, Mississippi, to Sonoma County, California, demanding that they certify that they are in compliance with federal immigration law or risk losing millions of dollars through a federal law enforcement grant program.



The letters were sent to jurisdictions that previously received Byrne Justice Assistance Grants, which were allocated about \$376 million by Congress in fiscal 2016.

At issue is a portion of law in the U.S. Code known as Section 1373, which prohibits localities from taking any step that would restrict public employees from sharing someone's immigration status with federal authorities.

The Justice Department under Sessions has taken an expansive view of the statute: Cities, counties and states that bar police from asking about someone's immigration status or prohibit officers from allowing federal immigration agents into their jails may be in violation of Section 1373, the attorney general has contended.

In the letters to the sanctuary jurisdictions, which the Justice Department barred reporters from making public, the department cited policies in each jurisdiction that appeared not to be in compliance, frequently including prohibitions on local police inquiring about immigration status. But they also pointed to

policies like declining to notify federal immigration authorities of the upcoming jail release of someone in the country illegally or whether officials reasonably suspect a person illegally reentered the U.S.

"I urge all jurisdictions found to be potentially out of compliance in this preliminary review to reconsider their policies that undermine the safety of their residents," Sessions said in a statement accompanying the letters. "We urge jurisdictions to not only comply with Section 1373,

NFWS

GALLERIES

Cartoons on President Donald Trump

NFWS

Photos: COVID-19 From Above

PHOTOS

RELATED CONTENT

1 in 7 DACA Recipients Did Not

Reapply

Photos: States Pause Reopening

The Week in Cartoons: Sept. 8-12

BRANDFUSE

ad content by American Heart Association

Do You Live Near One of These Award-Winning Hospitals in Your



RECOMMENDED

AMERICA 2020

Biden: Confirming a Justice Would

Be an Abuse of Power

but also to establish sensible and effective partnerships to properly process criminal aliens."

The letters say no final determination has been made on whether the jurisdictions are in compliance and gives them until Dec. 8 to address the Justice Department's concerns. It marks at least the third time this year that the Justice Department has sent letters to jurisdictions warning that they might be out of compliance.

Just two hours before the latest round of letters were made public, a federal court in Philadelphia blocked the Justice Department from tying Byrne Grant funding to compliance with Section 1373. Philadelphia had been one of five jurisdictions to receive a letter from the Justice Department last month accusing the city of potentially being in conflict with the law and at risk of losing up to \$1.6 in federal law enforcement grant funding.

U.S. District Judge Michael M. Baylson, however, concluded that the city was in compliance.

"Given Philadelphia's unique approach to meshing the legitimate needs of the federal government to remove criminal aliens with the City's promotion of health and safety, there is no conflict of any significance," Baylson wrote in a lengthy memo accompanying his preliminary injunction Wednesday.

The order applies only to Philadelphia's grant application, but it was a setback in the Justice Department's efforts to force localities to fully cooperate with federal immigration enforcement.

Notably, federal judges have concluded that while Section 1373 prohibits local towns, counties and states from enacting any rule or policy that would prevent officers from sharing someone's immigration status with authorities – or even maintaining that information locally – it says nothing about policies that simply bar police from asking for that information or notifying federal authorities about someone's release date from jail.

"It's a separation of powers issue," says Christopher Lasch, a law professor specializing in immigration policy at the University of Denver Sturm College of Law. "There's a really basic concept here, which is that the federal government really can't compel state officers to do anything. But the Department of Justice has really tried to split hairs on Section 1373, because Section 1373 technically doesn't compel anything. It forbids localities from forbidding their own officials from doing things."

Federal judges in several jurisdictions during the Obama administration concluded that requiring local police to comply could run afoul of the 10th Amendment, which restricts the federal government from what's known as "commandeering" or "conscripting" local resources to enforce federal law. The Obama administration chose not to pursue appeals – a decision that seems less likely in the Trump administration, which is already appealing certain injunctions.

Wednesday's announcement represents the latest of several attempts by the Trump administration and the Justice Department to tie federal law enforcement grants to full cooperation with federal immigration law.

In January, President Donald Trump signed an executive order threatening to rescind federal funds from sanctuary jurisdictions,

RELATED CONTENT

NEWS Photos: Remembering Justice Ginsburg	
POLITICS GOP Sees an Opportunity for a Lock on the Court	
AMERICA 2020 Ginsburg's Death Reshapes the Campaign	
AMERICA 2020 Biden in '16 Made GOP's SCOTUS Argument in '20	

a measure that was largely struck down three months later by a federal judge in California, who found that only Justice Department grants could be tied to local immigration enforcement. The administration is appealing that ruling.

Another federal judge in Chicago ruled in September that only

Congress has the power to impose new conditions on existing
grants, not executive branch agencies like the Justice

Department. However, the judge did find that the Justice

Department was well within its rights to ensure localities are
complying with laws that are already on the books – including Section 1373.



DOJ Ends Certain Police Reviews

The letters that the Justice Department sent Wednesday may signal that it's prepared to defend its more expansive view of Section 1373 and once again argue over the extent of the statute in court.

Hiroshi Motomura, a law professor specializing in immigration policy at the UCLA School of Law, says the letters could also be an attempt to stir up enough uncertainty that town councils, county freeholders and state legislators simply capitulate rather than risk losing crucial federal funds.

"Even if a locality is in the sanctuary camp, it may get cold feet depending on whether or not it wants to go to court to defend its policy," he says. "A lot of what's going on is the Justice Department is interested in using the risk of litigation to persuade cities not to take the chance."

The jurisdictions that received the DOJ letters Wednesday were:

Berkeley, California Bernalillo County, New Mexico Burlington, Vermont Contra Costa County, California City and county of Denver, Colorado Fremont, California Jackson, Mississippi King County, Washington Lawrence, Massachusetts Los Angeles, California Louisville metro, Kentucky Middlesex, New Jersey Monterey County, California Multnomah County, Oregon Newark, New Jersey Riverside County, California Sacramento County, California City and county of San Francisco, California Santa Ana. California Santa Clara County, California Seattle, Washington

Sonoma County, California

Albany, New York

Washington, D.C.
Watsonville, California
West Palm Beach, Florida
State of Illinois
State of Oregon
State of Vermont

Alan Neuhauser, Staff Writer

Alan Neuhauser covers law enforcement and criminal justice for U.S. News & World Report. He ... READ MORE »

Tags: Department of Justice, Jeff Sessions, immigration, Philadelphia, courts

Compare Brokerage Accounts ⁹

\$ 10.000	Sponsored
\$ 10,000 BROKER STOCK FEES ACCT. MIN	C

BRANDFUSE

ad content by MommyThing

She's A Republican Now! Here's Why

USNews

Coronavirus Bulletin

Stay informed daily on the latest news and advice on COVID-19 from the editors at U.S. News & World Report.

Email Address

Sign Up

Sign in to manage your newsletters »

YOU MAY ALSO LIKE

The 10 Worst Presidents

Not all U.S. presidents are missed once they leave the White House.

U.S. News Staff Dec. 19, 2019

BRANDFUSE

ad content by Travel Patriot

Meet Jay Leno's Gorgeous Husband 년

Cartoons on President Donald Trump Sept. 18, 2020, at 1:36 p.m.	
Photos: Obama Behind the Scenes A collection of moments during and after Barack Obama's presidency. June 27, 2018	
Photos: Who Supports Joe Biden? The former vice president has become the Democratic front-runner with primary victories across the country. March 11, 2020	
Photos: Remembering Justice Ginsburg Crowds gather outside the U.S. Supreme Court to pay tribute to The Notorious RBG. Sept. 19, 2020	
GOP Sees an Opportunity for a Lock on the Court The political tension is indicative of what's at stake: a rare chance to put the Supreme Court in a 6-3 conservative-majority control that could last for decades. Joseph P. Williams Sept. 19, 2020	

Graham in 2018: Senate Won't Vote on SCOTUS

The Judiciary Committee chairman shifted his stance last month, saying that 'the rules have changed' after the fraught confirmation process of Trump's last Supreme Court pick.

Claire Hansen Sept. 18, 2020

McConnell Pledges Vote on SCOTUS Nominee

We will keep our promise, the Senate majority leader said, after having blocked then-President Barack Obama's nominee months before the 2016 election.

Paul D. Shinkman and Lauren Camera Sept. 18, 2020

Justice Ruth Bader Ginsburg Dies at 87

The court announced late Friday that the champion of progressive and feminist issues had succumbed to metastatic pancreatic cancer.

Paul D. Shinkman Sept. 18, 2020

Feds Probe Princeton After Racism Admission

The federal investigation comes in response to a letter in which the university president acknowledged that the institution has and continues to be shaped by systemic racism.

Alexa Lardieri Sept. 18, 2020

Load More

BEST COUNTRIES	BEST STATES	HEALTHIEST COMMUNITIES	NEWS	CITIES
Overall Rankings	Overall Rankings	Overall Rankings	The Run	LEADERS
Rankings Index	Rankings Index	Urban, High-Performing	Politics	LLADERS
Countries Index	States Index	Urban, Up-and-Coming	World	THE REPORT
Data Explorer	Data Explorer	Rural, High-Performing	Business	PHOTOS
Countries News	States News	Rural, Up-and-Coming	Science	
Methodology	Methodology	Data Explorer	Health News	AMERICA 2020
Rankings Download	Rankings Download	Methodology	Cartoons	EVENTS
Countries FAQ	States FAQ	Communities FAQ		



About U.S. News Our Mission Contact Press Advertise Newsletters Jobs Site Map Store

Copyright 2020 © U.S. News & World Report L.P. Terms & Conditions/Privacy Policy/California Privacy Notice/California Do Not Sell My Personal Information Request